

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,103	01/26/2001	Beatriz M. Carreno	GNN-009CP	7957
7590 10/03/2003			EXAMINER	
	derson Farabow Garre	ett & Dunner LLP	GAMBEL,	PHILLIP
1300 I Street N Washington, D	treet N W tton, DC 20005-3315 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER		
Ç ,			1644	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

J ^A N		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/772,103	Carreno
, , ,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phillip Gambel	1644
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	o avoid abandonment of thi (1) a timely filed amendmo eal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing able of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the perion of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the content of the conten	his Advisory Action, or (2) the dat ire later than SIX MONTHS from VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT The date on which the petition un od of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period	the mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP der 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension nding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension to reply originally set in the final Office action; or
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3		er the mailing date of the linal rejection, even if
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:	•
(a) they raise new issues that would require ful	rther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below):
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not		,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application	•	by materially reducing or simplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or	The botton form for appour	by materially readening of employing the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	uld be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed amendment
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed S	OLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/s:	
Claim(s) allowed: 14 and 15.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 2-13.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16-23</u> .		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)☐ approved or b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.
9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten		•
10. Other:	(1)(1) (1) (1) (1)	
Other Carlon		
		Hurdrymy
		Phillip Gambel Primary Examiner
		Art Unit: 1644 Trick CM

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record. Applicant's arguments and the examiner's rebuttal are essentially the same of record. .